

Two sufficient conditions for the existence of Hamilton cycles in graphs*

Bo Ning^{a†}, Bing Chen^{b‡} and Shenggui Zhang^{a§}

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University,

Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, P.R. China

^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Xi'an University of Technology,

Xi'an, Shaanxi 710048, P.R. China

Abstract

Let G be a graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices, claw the bipartite graph $K_{1,3}$, and Z_i the graph obtained from a triangle by attaching a path of length i to its one vertex. G is called 1-heavy if at least one end vertex of each induced claw of G has degree at least $n/2$, and claw- o -heavy if each induced claw of it has a pair of end vertices with degree sum at least n . In this paper we prove two results: (1) Every 2-connected claw- o -heavy graph G is Hamiltonian if every pair of vertices u, v in a subgraph $H \cong Z_1$ contained in an induced subgraph Z_2 of G with $d_H(u, v) = 2$ satisfies one of the following conditions: (a) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (b) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$. (2) Every 3-connected 1-heavy graph G is Hamiltonian if every pair of vertices u, v in an induced subgraph $H \cong Z_2$ of G with $d_H(u, v) = 2$ satisfies one of the following conditions: (a) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (b) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$. Our results improve or extend previous

*Supported by NSFC (No. 11271300) and the Scientific Research Program of Shaanxi Provincial Education Department (Program No. 09JK609)

[†]E-mail address: ningbo_math84@mail.nwpu.edu.cn (B. Ning)

[‡]E-mail address: cbing_2004@163.com (B. Chen)

[§]E-mail address: sgzhang@nwpu.edu.cn (S. Zhang)

theorems of Broersma et al., Chen et al., Fan, Goodman & Hedetniemi, Gould & Jacobson and Shi on the existence of Hamilton cycles in graphs.

Keywords: Hamilton cycle; induced subgraph; claw-free; claw-*o*-heavy graph; 1-heavy graph

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 05C38 05C45

1 Introduction

We use Bondy and Murty [3] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider simple graphs only.

Let G be a graph, H a subgraph, and v a vertex of G . We use $N_H(v)$ to denote the set, and $d_H(v)$ the number, of neighbors of v in H , respectively. We call $d_H(v)$ the *degree* of v in H . For $x, y \in V(G)$, an (x, y) -*path* is a path P connecting x and y ; the vertex x will be called the *origin* of P and y the *terminus* of P . If $x, y \in V(H)$, the *distance* between x and y in H , denoted by $d_H(x, y)$, is the length of a shortest (x, y) -path in H . When no confusion occurs, we will use $N(v)$, $d(v)$ and $d(x, y)$ instead of $N_G(v)$, $d_G(v)$ and $d_G(x, y)$, respectively.

Let G be a graph and G' be a subgraph of G with vertex set S . We call G' an *induced subgraph* of G if G' contains every edge $xy \in E(G)$ with $x, y \in V(G')$ and denote G' by $G[S]$. For a family $\mathcal{H}$ of graphs, G is called $\mathcal{H}$ -*free* if G does not contain an induced graph isomorphic to any $H \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$, we use the terminology H -free instead of $\{H\}$ -free.

Let G be a graph on n vertices. A graph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ is called a *claw*, the vertex of degree 3 in it is called the *center* and its other vertices are called *end vertices*. Following the terminology of [4, 12], a vertex v of G is called *heavy* if $d(v) \geq n/2$, and a claw is called *1-heavy* (*2-heavy*) if at least one (two) of its end vertices is (are) heavy. G is called *1-heavy* (*2-heavy*) if every induced claw of it is 1-heavy (2-heavy), and *claw-*o*-heavy* if

every induced claw of it has two end vertices with degree sum at least n . Note that every claw-free graph is 2-heavy (claw-*o*-heavy, 1-heavy), every 2-heavy (claw-*o*-heavy) graph is 1-heavy, and every 2-heavy graph is claw-*o*-heavy. In this paper, instead of $K_{1,3}$ -free, we use the terminology claw-free.

The graph Z_i is obtained from a triangle by attaching a path of length i to one of its vertices.

Generally speaking, there are two types of sufficient conditions with respect to long cycle problems. On the one hand, numerical conditions play an important role. Specially, degree conditions are well-known. On the other hand, there are also so called structural conditions. Among all the structural conditions, forbidden subgraph conditions are useful ones. In the following, we give some examples of both types of conditions.

Theorem 1. (Fan [7]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. If $\max\{d(u), d(v)\} \geq n/2$ for every pair of vertices u, v in G with $d(u, v) = 2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 2. (Goodman and Hedetniemi [8]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph. If G is $\{K_{1,3}, Z_1\}$ -free, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 3. (Gould and Jacobson [9]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph. If G is $\{K_{1,3}, Z_2\}$ -free, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 4. (Shi [13]) *Let G be a 2-connected graph. If G is claw-free and $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$ for every pair of vertices u and v in G with $d(u, v) = 2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

By combining the above two types of conditions, or, to be more precise, by restricting the numerical conditions to certain substructures in graphs. Broersma et al. [4] proved that the following first result dealing with 2-heavy graphs, which generalized Theorems 1, 2 and 4. They also constructed graphs to show that the condition 2-heavy can not be replaced by 1-heavy under the same connectedness, and obtained a similar result for 3-connected 1-heavy graphs.

Theorem 5. (Broersma, Ryjáček and Schiermeyer [4]) *Let G be a 2-connected 2-heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_1$ be an induced subgraph of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 6. (Broersma, Ryjáček and Schiermeyer [4]) *Let G be a 3-connected 1-heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_1$ be an induced subgraph of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Later, Chen et al. [5] extended Theorem 5 to claw- o -heavy graphs.

Theorem 7. (Chen, Zhang and Qiao [5]) *Let G be a 2-connected claw- o -heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_1$ be an induced subgraph of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Motivated by Theorems 5, 6 and 7, in this paper we prove two other related results.

Theorem 8. *Let G be a 2-connected claw- o -heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_2$ be an induced subgraph of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 9. *Let G be a 3-connected 1-heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_2$ be an induced subgraph of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Obviously, Theorem 8 is a common generalization of Theorems 1, 3 and 4 and Theorem 9 extends Theorem 6.

In fact, instead of Theorem 8, we prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a 2-connected claw-o-heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_1$ be a subgraph of an induced Z_2 of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Obviously, Theorem 10 improves Theorem 7 by Chen et al.

We postpone the proofs of Theorems 9 and 10 to Section 3 and conclude this section with the following open problem.

Problem 1. Let G be a 3-connected 1-heavy graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices and $H \cong Z_1$ be a subgraph of an induced Z_2 of G . For every pair of vertices u, v in H with $d_H(u, v) = 2$, if one of the following conditions holds: (1) $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq 2$; (2) $\max(d(u), d(v)) \geq n/2$, then does G always contain a Hamilton cycle?

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce some additional terminology and notation.

Let H be a path or a cycle with a given orientation. By $\overleftarrow{H}$ we denote the same graph as H but with the reverse orientation. If v is a vertex of H , then v_H^+ and v_H^- denote the immediate successor (if it exists) and immediate predecessor (if it exists) of v on H , respectively. Furthermore, we define $v_H^{+2} = (v_H^+)^+$ and $v_H^{-2} = (v_H^-)^-$. If S is a set of vertices of H , then we define $S_H^+ = \{s_H^+ | s \in S\}$ and $S_H^- = \{s_H^- | s \in S\}$. When no confusion occurs, we denote v_H^+ , v_H^- , v_H^{+2} , v_H^{-2} , S_H^+ and S_H^- by v^+ , v^- , v^{+2} , v^{-2} , S^+ and S^- , respectively. For two vertices u and v of H , we use $H[u, v]$ to denote the segment of H from u to v .

Let G be a graph on n vertices. Recall that a vertex of G is called *heavy* if its degree is at least $n/2$; otherwise, we call it a *light* vertex. For a claw in G , if all of its end vertices are light, we call it a *light claw*. A cycle C in G is called *heavy* if it contains all the heavy

vertices of G ; and *extendable* if there exists a cycle longer than C in G which contains all vertices of C .

Let G be a graph, C a non-Hamilton cycle of G and v a vertex of $V(G) \setminus V(C)$. A subgraph F of G is called a (v, C) -*fan* if F satisfies:

- (1) F consists of some v -paths of G which are mutually vertex-disjoint except for v ;
- (2) the other end vertices of these v -paths are on the vertex set $V(C)$.

In particular, if F consists of k such paths $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_k$, then we denote F by $(v; Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$.

Moreover, if G is k -connected, where $k \geq 2$, then by Fan Lemma, there exists a (v, C) -fan $F = (v; Q_1, \dots, Q_k)$.

Let G be a graph on n vertices, $k \geq 3$ be an integer and C be a cycle in G . We use $\tilde{E}(G)$ to denote the set $\{xy : xy \in E(G) \text{ or } d(x) + d(y) \geq n, x, y \in V(G)\}$. Following [10], we call a sequence of vertices $C' = v_1v_2 \dots v_kv_1$ an *Ore-cycle* or briefly, *o-cycle* of G , if we have $v_iv_{i+1} \in \tilde{E}(G)$ for every $i \in [1, k]$, where $v_1 = v_{k+1}$. Throughout this paper, we say that C' is a *C-extendable o-cycle* if $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$ and $|V(C')| > |V(C)|$.

Let G be a graph on n vertices. We say that G is $K_{1,4}$ -*o-heavy*, if for any induced graph H isomorphic to $K_{1,4}$ in G , there exist two non-adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(H)$ such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq n$.

To prove Theorems 9 and 10, we need the following lemmas. The two observations in Lemma 2 are implicit in the works of Bondy [2] and Chvátal and Erdős [6], respectively. Lemmas 3 and 4 are two useful tools for proving the existence of long cycles under heavy subgraph conditions.

Lemma 1 (Bollobás and Brightwell [1], Shi [13]). *Every 2-connected graph contains a heavy cycle.*

Lemma 2 (Chvátal and Erdős [6], Bondy [2]). *Let G be a graph on n vertices, $\vec{C}$ a nonextendable cycle in G , H a component of $G - V(C)$, and A the set of neighbours of H*

on C . Then

- (a) $A \cap A^- = \emptyset$, $A \cap A^+ = \emptyset$, and A^- and A^+ are independent sets.
- (b) Each pair of vertices from A^- or A^+ has degree sum smaller than n .

Lemma 3 (Li et al. [10]). *Let G be graph on n vertices and C' be an o -cycle of G . Then there exists a cycle C of G such that $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$.*

Lemma 4 (Li and Zhang [11]). *Let G be a 2-connected $K_{1,4}$ - o -heavy graph and C be a longest cycle of G . Then C is a heavy cycle of G .*

3 Proofs of the theorems

A common set-up for the proofs of Theorems 9 and 10

We prove both theorems by contradiction. Let G be a graph satisfying the condition of Theorem 9 or Theorem 10. Suppose that G is s -connected and not Hamiltonian, where $s = 2$ or $s = 3$. By Lemma 1, G contains a heavy cycle. Let C be a heavy cycle of G with the longest length and assign an orientation to C . Then $V(G) \setminus V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Let H be a component of $G - C$ and u be a vertex of H . By Fan Lemma, there exists a (u, C) -fan $F = (u; Q_1, \dots, Q_s)$. Set $J = V(F) \cap V(C) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$. Note that $J = \{v_1, v_2\}$ if $s = 2$ and $J = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ if $s = 3$. Without loss of generality, we assume all the end vertices of $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ except for v are in order around C . Moreover, all vertices in $V(F) \setminus V(J)$ are light by the choice of C and Lemma 4. Now we choose F such that $|V(F)|$ is as small as possible, where u is chosen among all the vertices of H .

We have the following observations. The first one is an immediate corollary of Lemma 4.

Observation 1. There exist no C -extendable o -cycles in G .

Observation 2. For two distinct vertices $x \in V(H)$ and $y \in J$, $xy^+ \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ and $xy^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

Proof. Assume that $xy^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Let P be an (x, y) -path of G with all vertices in H except for y . Then $C' = P[x, y] \overleftarrow{C}[y, y^+]y^+x$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , contradicting to Observation 1.

The other assertion can be proved similarly. $\square$

By Observation 2, $uv_i^\pm \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ ($i = 1, 2$) if $s = 2$ and $uv_i^\pm \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) if $s = 3$.

Observation 3. For two distinct vertices $v_i, v_j \in J$, if $v_i^-v_i^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$, then $v_iv_j^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$, $v_iv_j^+ \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

Proof. Assume that $v_iv_j^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$ or $v_iv_j^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Then $C' = Q_i[v_i, u]Q_j[u, v_j]C[v_j, v_i^-]v_i^-v_i^+C[v_i^+, v_j^-]v_j^-v_i$ or $C' = Q_i[v_i, u]Q_j[u, v_j]\overleftarrow{C}[v_j, v_i^+]v_i^+v_i^-\overleftarrow{C}[v_i^-, v_j^+]v_j^+v_i$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. $\square$

Let $v_i, v_{i+1} \in J$, where j is taken module s . By Observation 3, $v_iv_{i+1}^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ when $v_i^-v_i^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Let y_i be the first vertex on $C[v_i^+, v_{i+1}^-]$ satisfying $y_iv_i \notin E(G)$, and let z_i be an arbitrary vertex on $C[v_i^+, y_i]$.

Observation 4. If $v_i^-v_i^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$ for some $v_i \in J$, then

- (a) $xz_i \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ for any $x \in V(F) \setminus J$.
- (b) $v_j^+z_i \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ for any $v_j \in J$, where $v_j \neq v_i$.

Proof. (a) Assume that $xz_i \in \tilde{E}(G)$. If $x \in V(Q_i)$, then $C' = C[v_i^+, z_i^-]z_i^-v_iQ_i[v_i, x]xz_iC[z_i, v_i^-]v_i^-v_i^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , contradicting to Observation 1. If $x \in V(Q_j)$, where $j \neq i$, then $C' = C[v_i^+, z_i^-]z_i^-v_iQ_i[v_i, u]Q_j[u, x]xz_iC[z_i, v_i^-]v_i^-v_i^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

(b) Assume that $v_j^+z_i \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Then $C' = C[v_j^+, v_i^-]v_i^-v_i^+C[v_i^+, z_i^-]z_i^-v_iQ_i[v_i, u]Q_j[u, v_j]\overleftarrow{C}[v_j, z_i]z_iv_j^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. $\square$

Observation 5. For two distinct vertices $v_i, v_j \in J$, if $v_i^-v_i^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$ and $v_j^-v_j^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$, then

(a) $v_i v_j^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$, $v_i v_j^+ \notin \tilde{E}(G)$, $v_j v_i^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ and $v_j v_i^+ \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

(b) $v_i^- v_j^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ and $v_i^+ v_j^+ \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

(c) $y_i^- v_j \notin \tilde{E}(G)$, $y_i v_j \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ and $y_i^- y_j^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

Proof. (a) The results follows from Observation 3 immediately.

(b) Assume that $v_i^- v_j^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Then $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[v_i^-, v_j^-] Q_j[v_j, u] Q_i[u, v_i] C[v_i, v_j^-] v_j^- v_i^-$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. The other assertion can be proved similarly.

(c) Assume that $y_i^- v_j \in \tilde{E}(G)$ or $y_i v_j \in \tilde{E}(G)$. If $y_i \neq v_i^{+2}$, then let $x = y_i^-$ or $x = y_i$. Now $C' = x v_j Q_j[v_j, u] Q_j[u, v_i] v_i x^- \overleftarrow{C}[x^-, v_i^+] v_i^+ v_i^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_i^-, v_j^+] v_j^+ v_j^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_j^-, x]$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. Assume that $y_i = v_i^{+2}$. If $y_i^- v_j \in \tilde{E}(G)$, then it contradicts to Observation 5 (a). If $y_i v_j \in \tilde{E}(G)$, then $C' = Q_j[v_j, u] Q_j[u, v_i] v_i v_i^+ v_i^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_i^-, v_j^+] v_j^+ v_j^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_j^-, y_i] y_i v_j$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Assume that $y_i^- y_j^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$. If $y_i \neq v_i^{+2}$ and $y_j \neq v_j^{+2}$, then $C' = Q_i[v_i, u] Q_j[u, v_j] v_j y_j^{-2} \overleftarrow{C}[y_j^{-2}, v_j^+] v_j^+ v_j^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_j^-, y_i^-] y_i^- y_j^- C[y_j^-, v_i^-] v_i^- v_i^+ C[v_i^+, y_i^{-2}] y_i^{-2} v_i$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $y_i = v_i^{+2}$ and $y_j \neq v_j^{+2}$, then $C' = Q_i[v_i, u] Q_j[u, v_j] v_j y_j^{-2} \overleftarrow{C}[y_j^{-2}, v_j^+] v_j^+ v_j^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_j^-, v_i^+] v_i^+ y_j^- C[y_j^-, v_i]$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $y_i \neq v_i^{+2}$ and $y_j = v_j^{+2}$, then $C' = Q_i[v_i, u] Q_j[u, v_j] \overleftarrow{C}[v_j, y_i^-] y_i^- v_j^+ C[v_j^+, v_i^-] v_i^- v_i^+ C[v_i^+, y_i^{-2}] y_i^{-2} v_i$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $y_i = v_i^{+2}$ and $y_j = v_j^{+2}$, then $y_i^- y_j^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$ contradicts to Observation 5 (b). The proof is complete. $\square$

In the following, we give two observations which are closely related to the structure of F .

Observation 6. There exists a vertex $v \in J$ such that $v^- v^+ \in E(G)$.

Proof. Assume not. Let u_i be the successor of v_i on the path $Q_i[v_i, u]$, where $v_i \in J$.

If G is 2-connected and claw- o -heavy, then $J = \{v_1, v_2\}$ as we explained before. Assume that $v_1^- v_1^+ \notin E(G)$ and $v_2^- v_2^+ \notin E(G)$. Then each of $\{u_1, v_1^-, v_1, v_1^+\}$ and $\{u_1, v_2^-, v_2, v_2^+\}$ induces a claw. By the fact that G is claw- o -heavy and Observation 2, $d(v_1^-) + d(v_1^+) \geq n$

and $d(v_2^-) + d(v_2^+) \geq n$. It follows that $d(v_1^-) + d(v_2^-) \geq n$ or $d(v_1^+) + d(v_2^+) \geq n$, which contradicts to Observation 5 (b).

If G is 3-connected and 1-heavy, then set $J = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Assume that $v_i^- v_i^+ \notin E(G)$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then each of $\{u_i, v_i^-, v_i, v_i^+\}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) induces a claw. Note that u_i is light by Lemma 4 and the choice of C . Since G is 1-heavy, there are at least three heavy vertices in $\{v_i^-, v_i^+ : i = 1, 2, 3\}$. However, by Observation 5 (b), there exists at most one heavy vertex in $\{v_1^-, v_2^-, v_3^-\}$ and at most one in $\{v_1^+, v_2^+, v_3^+\}$. Thus, there are at most two heavy vertices in $\{v_i^-, v_i^+ : i = 1, 2, 3\}$, a contradiction. $\square$

By Observation 6 and its proof, if G is 2-connected and claw- o -heavy, we may assume that $v_1^- v_1^+ \in E(G)$ and v_1^- is light. If G is 3-connected and 1-heavy, we can assume that $\{v_1^-, v_1^+\}$ are light and it follows that $v_1^- v_1^+ \in E(G)$ from the fact G is 1-heavy.

Observation 7. (a) If G satisfies the condition of Theorem 9, then $V(F) = \{u, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$.

(b) If G satisfies the condition of Theorem 10, then $V(F) = \{u, v_1, v_2\}$.

Proof. (a) Set $F = (u; Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, and v_i is the end vertex of Q_i on C , $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $|V(Q_1)| \geq 3$, then let u_1 be the successor of v_1 , and u_1^+ be the successor of u_1 , on the path $P[v_1, u]$, respectively. Note that we have $v_1 u_1^+ \notin E(G)$ and $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u_1, u_1^+\}] \cong Z_2$. Moreover, all the vertices in $\{u_1, v_1^-, v_1^+\}$ are light. Since $d(u_1, v_1^-) = 2$ and $\max\{d(u_1), d(v_1^-)\} < n/2$, we have $|N(u_1) \cap N(v_1^-)| \geq 2$. If there exists a vertex $x \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$ such that $x \in N(u_1) \cap N(v_1^-)$, then we can find a cycle longer than C and contains all vertices of C , a contradiction. Thus, there exists a vertex $x \in N(u_1) \cap N(v_1^-) \setminus \{v_1\}$ on C such that $x^+ x^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ (otherwise $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[x^-, v_1] v_1 u_1 x v_1^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_1^-, x^+] x^+ x^-$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction). Similarly, there also exists a vertex $y \in N(u_1) \cap N(v_1^+) \setminus \{v_1\}$ on C such that $y^+ y^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$. If $x = y$, then $G[\{u_1, v_1^-, x, x^-\}] \cong K_{1,3}$ and $G[\{u_1, v_1^+, x, x^+\}] \cong K_{1,3}$. Since G is 1-heavy and $\{v_1^-, v_1^+, u_1\}$ is light, we have $x^- x^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$, a contradiction. Otherwise, $x \neq y$ and $F' = (u_1; u_1 v_1, u_1 x, u_1 y)$ is a (u_1, C) -fan such that $|V(F')| < |V(F)|$, a

contradiction. Thus $V(Q_1) = \{u, v_1\}$. Next we will show that $|V(Q_2)| = 2$. Assume that $|V(Q_2)| \geq 3$. Let u^+ be the successor of u on the path $Q_2[u, v_2]$. If $u^+v_1 \notin E(G)$, then $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u, u^+\}] \cong Z_2$, and we can get a contradiction similar as before. Hence we assume that $u^+v_1 \in E(G)$. If each of v_2^- and v_2^+ is light, then $v_2^-v_2^+ \in E(G)$ since G is 1-heavy. In this case, in a manner similar to the one used to deduce $|V(Q_1)| = 2$ before, we can get $V(Q_2) = \{u, v_2\}$. Otherwise, assume that v_2^+ is heavy. By Observation 4 (b), $v_2^+y_1^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ and it follows that y_1^- is light. Note that $G[\{v_1, u, u^+, y_1^-, y_1\}] \cong Z_2$ and there exists a vertex $z \in V(C) \cap N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$ with $z \neq v_1$. If $z = v_2$ or $z \neq v_2, v_3$, then $F' = (u; uv_1, uz, Q_3)$ is a (u, C) -fan such that $|V(F')| < |V(F)|$, a contradiction. Otherwise, $z = v_3$. By Observation 5 (b), v_3^+ is light. By Observation 4 (b), $v_3^+y_1^- \notin E(G)$. Hence $\{v_3, v_3^+, y_1^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. Now assume v_2^- is heavy. If v_3^+, v_3^- are light, then $v_3^+v_3^- \in E(G)$. Similar as the analysis in the case $v_1^-v_1^+ \in E(G)$ and v_2^+ is heavy, we can deduce a contradiction by symmetry. Hence either v_3^+ or v_3^- is heavy. If v_3^- is heavy, it contradicts to Observation 5 (b). Hence v_3^+ is heavy, and we can deduce a contradiction similar to the case v_2^+ is heavy above. It follows that $V(Q_2) = \{v, u_2\}$. By symmetry, $V(Q_3) = \{u, v_3\}$. The proof of (a) is complete.

(b) Note that $F = (u; Q_1, Q_2)$, and v_i is the end vertex of Q_i ($i = 1, 2$) on C . If $|V(Q_1)| \geq 3$, then let u_1 be the successor of v_1 , u_1^+ be the successor of u_1 , on the path $P[v_1, u]$. Firstly, note that $v_1u_1^+ \notin E(G)$, since otherwise $F' = (u; Q_1[u, u_1^+]u_1^+v_1, Q_2)$ is a required fan with $|V(F')| < |V(F)|$, a contradiction. By Observation 2, $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u_1, u_1^+\}] \cong Z_2$ and $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u_1\}] \cong Z_1$. Since $d(v_1^-, u_1) = 2$ and $\max\{d(v_1^-), d(u_1)\} < n/2$, $|N(v_1^-) \cap N(u_1)| \geq 2$. Suppose that $z \in N(v_1^-) \cap N(u_1) \setminus \{v_1\}$. If $z \in V(H)$, then there is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. If $z \in V(C)$, then $F' = (u_1; u_1v_1, u_1z)$ is a (u, C) -fan such that $|V(F')| < |V(F)|$, a contradiction. It follows that $V(Q_1) = \{u, v_1\}$. Next we will show that $V(Q_2) = \{u, v_2\}$. If $|V(Q_2)| \geq 3$, then let u^+ be the successor of u on the path $Q_2[u, v_2]$. Obviously, $u^+v_1 \notin E(G)$ since otherwise $F' =$

$(u^+; u^+v_1, Q_2[u^+, v_2])$ is a (u^+, C) -fan such that $|V(F')| < |V(F)|$, a contradiction. Note that $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u, u^+\}] \cong Z_2$ and $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u\}] \cong Z_1$. By the manner used above, we can also get a contradiction. Hence $V(F) = \{u, v_1, v_2\}$ and the proof of (b) is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 9. Remark that all of the notation and observations of the general discussion are assumed. Assume that G contains no Hamilton cycles. We choose C as the longest heavy cycle in G and assign an orientation to C , and $F = (u; Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ a (u, C) -fan satisfying $|V(F)|$ is as small as possible. By the analysis of the common set-up part, we know:

- (1) $F = (u; uv_1, uv_2, uv_3)$;
- (2) each vertex of $\{v_1^-, v_1^+, u\}$ is light;
- (3) $v_1^- v_1^+ \in E(G)$;
- (4) v_1, v_2, v_3 are in order around C .

In the following, we distinguish the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $v_i^- v_i^+ \in E(G)$ for $i = 2$ or $i = 3$.

Case 1.1. $v_1 v_i \in E(G)$.

By Observations 4, 5 (c) and the choice of y_1, y_i , we have $G[\{v_i, u, v_1, y_1^-, y_1\}] \cong Z_2$ and $G[\{v_1, u, v_i, y_i^-, y_i\}] \cong Z_2$. By Observation 5 (b), at least one of $\{y_1^-, y_i^-\}$ is light. Without loss of generality, we assume that y_1^- is light. Hence there exists a vertex $w_1 \in N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$. By Observation 4 (a) and its proof, we have $w_1 \in V(C)$. By Observation 5 (c) and 4 (b), $w_1 \neq v_i$ and $w_1^+ y_1^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$.

We first show that w_1^+ is heavy and y_i^- is light. By Observations 2 and 4, $\{w_1, w_1^+, y_1^-, u\}$ induces a claw. Since y_1^- and u are light, w_1^+ is heavy. Assume y_i^- is heavy. Note that $v_i^- v_i^+ \in E(G)$. Now we have $y_i^- w_1^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$, which contradicts to Observation 4 (b).

Since y_i^- is light, there exists a vertices $w_i \in N(u) \cap N(y_i^-)$ and $w_i \in V(C)$. By

Observation 5, $w_i \neq v_1$. Next we will show that $w_i \neq w_1$. Assume that $w_i = w_1$. By Observation 5, we have $y_1^- y_i^- \notin \widetilde{E}(G)$. Now $\{w_1, y_1^-, y_i^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

By Observation 4, $w_i^+ y_i^- \notin \widetilde{E}(G)$. By Lemma 2, w_i^+ is light; since otherwise both w_1^+ and w_i^+ are heavy, contradicting to Lemma 2. Now $\{w_i, w_i^+, y_i^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $v_1 v_i \notin E(G)$.

By Observations 2 and 3, we have $v_i v_1^+ \notin E(G)$, $v_i v_1^- \notin E(G)$. It follows that $\{v_1^-, v_1^+, v_1, u, v_i\}$ induces a Z_2 from the fact $v_i^- v_i^+ \in E(G)$ and Observation 2. Then there exists a vertex $w_1 \in N(u) \cap N(v_1^+) \setminus \{v_1\}$ and $w_1 \in V(C)$. By Observation 5, $w_1 \neq v_i$.

We first show that w_1^+ is heavy and v_i^+ is light. By Observation 2 and Lemma 2, $\{w_1, w_1^+, v_1^+, u\}$ induces a claw. Since all vertices in $\{v_1^+, u\}$ are light, w_1^+ is heavy. Since $v_i^- v_i^+ \in E(G)$ and w_1^+ is heavy, Observation 4 (b) implies that v_i^+ is light.

By Observation 5, $\{v_i^-, v_i^+, v_i, u, v_1\}$ induces a Z_2 . Then there exists a vertex $w_i \in N(u) \cap N(v_i^+) \setminus \{v_i\}$ and $w_i \in V(C)$. By Observation 5, $w_i \neq v_1$.

Next we will show that $w_i \neq w_1$. Assume that $w_i = w_1$. By Lemma 2, we have $v_1^+ v_i^+ \notin E(G)$. Now $\{w_1, v_1^+, v_i^+, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

By Lemma 2, $w_i^+ v_i^+ \notin E(G)$. By Observation 5 (b) and $w_1^+ \neq w_i^+$ is heavy, w_i^+ is light. Note that v_i^+ is light. Hence $\{w_i, w_i^+, v_i^+, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

Case 2. $v_i^- v_i^+ \notin E(G)$ for $i = 2, 3$.

Now both $\{v_2, v_2^-, v_2^+, u\}$ and $\{v_3, v_3^-, v_3^+, u\}$ induce claws. By Lemma 2, we distinguish the following two cases.

Case 2.1. v_2^+, v_3^- are light vertices and v_2^-, v_3^+ are heavy vertices.

Claim 1. $v_2 y_1^- \notin E(G)$, $v_3 y_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $v_3 y_1 \notin E(G)$.

Proof. Assume that $v_2 y_1^- \in E(G)$. If $y_1^- \neq v_1^+$, then $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1^-] v_1^- v_1^+ C[v_1^+, y_1^{-2}] y_1^{-2} v_1 u v_3 \overleftarrow{C}[v_3, v_2] v_2 y_1^- C[y_1^-, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $y_1^- = v_1^+$, then $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1] v_1 u v_3 \overleftarrow{C}[v_3, v_2] v_2 y_1^- C[y_1^-, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Assume that $v_3 y_1^- \in E(G)$. If $y_1^- \neq v_1^+$, then $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1^-] v_1^- v_1^+ C[v_1^+, y_1^{-2}] y_1^{-2} v_1 u v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 y_1^- C[y_1^-, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $y_1^- = v_1^+$, then $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1] v_1 u v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 y_1^- C[y_1^-, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Assume that $v_3 y_1 \in E(G)$. Now $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1^-] v_1^- v_1^+ C[v_1^+, y_1^-] y_1^- v_1 u v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 y_1 C[y_1, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. $\square$

Claim 2. $v_1^- v_3 \notin E(G)$, $v_1^- v_2 \notin E(G)$ and $v_3 v_1^+ \notin E(G)$.

Proof. Assume that $v_1^- v_3 \in E(G)$. Then $\{v_3, v_1^-, v_3^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

Assume that $v_1^- v_2 \in E(G)$. Now $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1^-] v_1^- v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 u v_1 C[v_1, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Assume that $v_3 v_1^+ \in E(G)$. Now $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1] v_1 u v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 v_1^+ C[v_1^+, v_2^-] v_2^- v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. $\square$

Assume that $v_1 v_3 \in E(G)$. By Observation 4 (a) and Claim 1, $\{v_3, u, v_1, y_1^-, y_1\}$ induces a Z_2 . By Observation 4 (b) and the hypothesis v_3^+ is heavy, y_1^- is light. Now there exists a vertex $w \in N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$ and $w \in V(C)$. By Claim 1, we have $w \neq v_2$ and $w \neq v_3$. Since v_3^+ is heavy, w^+ is light by Lemma 2. By Observation 4 (b), $w^+ y_1^- \notin E(G)$. Now $\{w, w^+, y_1^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction.

Assume that $v_1 v_3 \notin E(G)$. By Observation 2 and Claim 2, $\{v_1^+, v_1^-, v_1, u, v_3\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since v_1^- is light, there exists a vertex $w \neq v_1 \in N(u) \cap N(v_1^-)$ and $w \in V(C)$. By Claim 2, $w \neq v_2, v_3$. Since v_2^- is heavy, w^- is light by Lemma 2. Now $\{w, w^-, v_1^-, u\}$

induces a light claw, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. v_2^+, v_3^- are heavy vertices and v_2^-, v_3^+ are light vertices.

Claim 3. $v_3^+ v_1 \notin E(G)$, $v_2 v_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $v_1 v_2^- \notin E(G)$.

Proof. Suppose that $v_3^+ v_1 \in E(G)$. Now $\{v_1, v_1^+, v_3^+, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove $v_2 v_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $v_1 v_2^- \notin E(G)$. $\square$

Let x be the first vertex on $\overleftarrow{C}[v_1^-, v_3^+]$ satisfying $v_1 x \notin E(G)$.

Claim 4. (a) Every vertex in $C[x, y_1]$ is light. (b) Every pair of vertices in $C[x^+, y_1^-]$ are adjacent to each other.

Proof. (a) It is obvious that every vertex in $C[x^+, y_1^-] \setminus \{v_1\}$ is adjacent to v_1 . By Observation 3, v_1 is light since v_2^+ is heavy. By Observation 4 (b), $z_1 \in C[v_1^+, y_1]$ is light since v_2^+ is heavy. Note that $v_1^- v_1^+ \in E(G)$ and v_2^+, v_3^- are heavy. By symmetry and Observation 4 (b), every vertex in $C[x, v_1^-]$ is light. Hence every vertex in $C[x, y_1]$ is light.

(b) By Observations 2 and 4 (a), $uv_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $uz_1 \notin E(G)$. By symmetry, $uz' \notin E(G)$ for every $z' \in C[x^+, v_1^-]$. Suppose that there exist two vertices s, t in $C[x^+, y_1^-] \setminus \{v_1\}$ such that $st \notin E(G)$. Now $\{v_1, u, s, t\}$ induces a light claw by Claim 4 (a), a contradiction. $\square$

Claim 5. $v_3 z_1 \notin E(G)$ for any vertex $z_1 \in C[v_1^+, y_1]$.

Proof. By Observation 4, $v_3^+ z_1 \notin E(G)$. By Claim 4 (a), z_1 is light. Assume that $v_3 z_1 \in E(G)$. Now $\{v_3, z_1, v_3^+, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. $\square$

Claim 6. If $v_3 x^+ \in E(G)$, then $v_3^+ v_2^- \notin E(G)$.

Proof. Assume that $v_3^+ v_2^- \in E(G)$. By Claim 4 (b), $v_1^+ x^{+2} \in E(G)$. Now $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[x^+, v_3^+] v_3^+ v_2^- \overleftarrow{C}[v_2^-, v_1^+] v_1^+ x^{+2} C[x^{+2}, v_1] v_1 u v_2 C[v_2, v_3] v_3 x^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle, a contradiction. $\square$

Case 2.2.1. $v_3x^+ \in E(G)$.

Claim 7. $v_3^+x^+ \in E(G)$ and $y_1x^+ \notin E(G)$.

Proof. If $v_3^+x^+ \notin E(G)$, then $\{v_3, v_3^+, x^+, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. If $y_1x^+ \in E(G)$, then by Claim 3, $v_3^+v_1 \notin E(G)$. By Observation 4 (b), $v_3^+y_1 \notin E(G)$. Hence $\{x^+, y_1, v_1, v_3^+\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. $\square$

By Observation 4 (b) and Claims 5 and 7, $\{v_3, v_3^+, x^+, y_1^-, y_1\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since v_3^+, y_1^- are light vertices, there exists a vertex $w \neq x^+$ such that $w \in N(v_3^+) \cap N(y_1^-)$ and $w \in V(C)$.

Claim 8. $w \notin \{v_i^-, v_i^+, v_i : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup V(C[v_1^+, y_1]) \cup V(C[x^+, v_1^-])$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $w \neq v_1^+, v_2^+$. Obviously, $w \neq v_3^+$. By Claims 3 and 5, $w \neq v_1, v_3$. Now we will show that $w \neq v_2$. If $v_3^+v_2 \in E(G)$, then $v_3^+v_2^- \in E(G)$, since otherwise $\{v_2, v_2^-, v_3^+, u\}$ induces a light claw. However, it contradicts to Claim 6. Hence $w \neq v_2$. By Claim 6, $w \neq v_2^-$. Since $v_3^-v_3^+ \notin E(G)$, $w \neq v_3^-$. Assume that $w = v_1^-$. If $x \in V(C[v_3^+, v_1^{-2}])$, then $v_1^{-2}v_1 \in E(G)$. Hence $C' = C[v_3^+, v_1^{-2}]v_1^{-2}v_1uv_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, v_1^+]v_1^+v_1^-v_3^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. Hence $w = x^+$ and it contradicts to the choice of w . Now we have $w \neq v_1^-$. By Observation 4 (b), $w \notin V(C[v_1^+, y_1])$. Assume $w \in V(C(x^+, v_1^-))$, then $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[x^+, v_3^+]v_3^+wC[w, x^{+2}]x^{+2}w^+C[w^+, v_1^-]v_1^-v_1^+C[v_1^+, v_3]v_3uv_1x^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. Hence $w \notin V(C(x^+, v_1^-))$. $\square$

By Claim 8, $w \in V(C(v_3^+, x^+))$ or $w \in V(C(y_1, v_2^-))$ or $w \in V(C(v_2^+, v_3^-))$.

Case 2.2.1.1. $w \in V(C(v_3^+, x^+))$.

We first show that w^+ is light. Assume that w^+ is heavy. Now $C' = C[w^+, v_1^-]v_1^-v_1^+C[v_1^+, y_1^{-2}]y_1^{-2}v_1uv_2\overleftarrow{C}[v_2, y_1^-]y_1^-w\overleftarrow{C}[w, v_2^+]v_2^+w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Next we show that $w^+y_1^- \in E(G)$ or $w^+v_3^+ \in E(G)$. By Observation 4 (b), $y_1^-v_3^+ \notin E(G)$. If $w^+y_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $w^+v_3^+ \notin E(G)$, then $\{w, w^+, v_3^+, y_1^-\}$ induces a light claw, a

contradiction.

Suppose that $w^+v_3^+ \in E(G)$. $C' = w^+v_3^+C[v_3^+, w]wy_1^-C[y_1^-, v_3]v_3uv_1C[v_1, y_1^{-2}]y_1^{-2}v_1^-\overleftarrow{C}[v_1^-, w^+]$
 w^+ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Suppose that $w^+y_1^- \in E(G)$. Now $w^+y_1 \in E(G)$ or $w^+v_1 \in E(G)$. (Otherwise, $\{y_1^-, y_1, w^+, v_1\}$ induces a claw and y_1, w^+, v_1 are light, a contradiction). If $w^+y_1 \in E(G)$, then $C' = C[w^+, x^+]x^+v_3^+C[v_3^+, w]wy_1^-\overleftarrow{C}[y_1^-, v_1^+]v_1^+x^{+2}C[x^{+2}, v_1]v_1uv_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, y_1]y_1w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $w^+v_1 \in E(G)$, then $w^+x^{+2} \in E(G)$. (Otherwise, $\{v_1, x^{+2}, w^+, u\}$ induces a claw and each vertex of $\{x^{+2}, w^+, u\}$ is light, a contradiction). Now $C' = C[w^+, x^+]x^+v_3^+C[v_3^+, w]wy_1^-C[y_1^-, v_3]v_3uv_1C[v_1, y_1^{-2}]y_1^{-2}v_1^-\overleftarrow{C}[v_1^-, x^{+2}]x^{+2}w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Case 2.2.1.2. $w \in V(C(v_2^+, v_3^-))$.

We first show that w^- is light. Suppose that w^- is heavy. Now $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[w^-, v_1^+]v_1^+v_1^-\overleftarrow{C}[v_1^-, x^{+2}]x^{+2}v_1uv_3x^+\overleftarrow{C}[x^+, v_3^+]v_3^+wC[w, v_3^-]v_3^-w^-$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Next we show that $w^-y_1^- \in E(G)$ or $w^-v_3^+ \in E(G)$. Assume not. By Observation 4 (b), $\{w, w^-, v_3^+, y_1^-\}$ induces a claw. However, each vertex of $\{w^-, v_3^+, y_1^-\}$ is light, a contradiction.

Suppose that $w^-v_3^+ \in E(G)$. Then $C' = w^-v_3^+C[v_3^+, v_1^-]v_1^-y_1^{-2}\overleftarrow{C}[y_1^{-2}, v_1]v_1uv_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, w]wy_1^-C[y_1^-, w^-]$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Suppose that $w^-y_1^- \in E(G)$. Now $w^-y_1 \in E(G)$ or $w^-v_1 \in E(G)$. (Otherwise, $\{y_1^-, y_1, w^-, v_1\}$ induces a claw and each vertex of $\{y_1, w^-, v_1\}$ is light, a contradiction). If $w^-y_1 \in E(G)$, then $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[v_3^-, w]wy_1^-\overleftarrow{C}[y_1^-, v_3]v_3uv_2\overleftarrow{C}[v_2, y_1]y_1w^-\overleftarrow{C}[w^-, v_2^+]v_2^+v_3^-$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $w^-v_1 \in E(G)$, then $C' = wv_3^+C[v_3^+, v_1^-]v_1^-v_1^+C[v_1^+, w^-]w^-v_1uv_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, w]$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Case 2.2.1.3. $w \in V(C(y_1, v_2^-))$.

We first show that w^+ is light. Suppose that w^+ is heavy. Now $C' = C[w^+, v_2]v_2uv_1$

$\overleftarrow{C}[v_1, x^{+2}]x^{+2}v_1^+C[v_1^+, w]wv_3^+C[v_3^+, x^+]x^+v_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, v_2^+]v_2^+w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Next we show that $w^+v_3^+ \in E(G)$. If $w^+y_1^- \notin E(G)$ and $w^+v_3^+ \notin E(G)$, then $\{w, w^+, v_3^+, y_1^-\}$ induces a claw and w^+, v_3^+, y_1^- are light vertices, a contradiction. If $w^+y_1^- \in E(G)$, then $C' = w^+y_1^-C[y_1^-, w]wv_3^+C[v_3^+, v_1^-]v_1^-y_1^{-2}\overleftarrow{C}[y_1^{-2}, v_1]v_1uv_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, w^+]$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. Hence we have $w^+v_3^+ \in E(G)$.

Now we show w is light. Suppose that w is heavy. Since $w^+v_3^+ \in E(G)$, $C' = \overleftarrow{C}[w, v_1^+]v_1^+x^{+2}C[x^{+2}, v_1]v_1uv_2\overleftarrow{C}[v_2, w^+]w^+v_3^+C[v_3^+, x^+]x^+v_3\overleftarrow{C}[v_3, v_2^+]v_2^+w$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

At last we show that $v_3w^+ \notin E(G)$ and $v_3w \notin E(G)$.

Suppose that $v_3w^+ \in E(G)$. We have $x^+w^+ \in E(G)$, since otherwise $\{v_3, w^+, x^+, u\}$ induces a claw ($uw^+ \in E(G)$ since otherwise either $ww^{+2} \in E(G)$, which can be seen as Case 1, or w^{+2} is heavy, which contradicts to Lemma 2 since $w^{+2}v_2^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$). However, each vertex of $\{w^+, x^+, u\}$ is light, a contradiction. Now $C' = C[w^+, v_3]v_3uv_1\overleftarrow{C}[v_1, x^{+2}]x^{+2}v_1^+C[v_1^+, w]wv_3^+C[v_3^+, x^+]x^+w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Suppose that $v_3w \in E(G)$. We have $x^+w \in E(G)$, since otherwise $\{v_3, w, x^+, u\}$ induces a claw and each vertex of $\{w, x^+, u\}$ is light, a contradiction. Now $C' = C[w^+, v_3]v_3uv_1\overleftarrow{C}[v_1, x^{+2}]x^{+2}v_1^+C[v_1^+, w]wx^+\overleftarrow{C}[x^+, v_3^+]v_3^+w^+$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction.

Note that $uw \notin E(G)$ (since otherwise $\{u, w, y_1^-, v_3^+\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction). We also have $uw^+ \notin E(G)$ (since otherwise it implies $ww^{+2} \in E(G)$ or one of $\{w, w^{+2}\}$ is heavy, which can be seen as Case 1 or contradicts to Lemma 2). Since $v_3^+w^+ \in E(G)$ and $v_3w^+, v_3w, uv_3^+, uw, uw^+ \notin E(G)$, $\{w, w^+, v_3^+, v_3, u\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since v_3^+, u are light vertices, there exists a vertex $w' \neq v_3 \in N(u) \cap N(v_3^+) \cap V(C)$. Moreover, $v_3^+v_2 \notin E(G)$ since otherwise $\{v_3^+, v_2, v_2^-, u\}$ induces a light claw ($v_3^+v_2^- \notin E(G)$ by Claim 6). Since v_2^+ is heavy, w'^+ is light by Lemma 2. Now $\{w'^+, v_3^+, u, w'\}$ induces a

light claw, a contradiction.

Case 2.2.2. $v_3x^+ \notin E(G)$.

Assume that $v_1v_3 \notin E(G)$. By Claim 5, $v_3y_1^- \notin E(G)$. Now $\{x^+, v_1, y_1^-, u, v_3\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since y_1^-, u are light, there exists a vertex $w \neq v_1 \in N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$ and $w \in V(C)$. Since $v_3y_1^- \notin E(G)$, $w \neq v_3$. By symmetry, if $v_2y_1^- \in E(G)$, we can deduce a contradiction the same as Case 2.2.1. Hence $w \neq v_2$. Now $\{u, w, w^+, y_1^-\}$ induces a claw and it follows w^+ is heavy from the fact G is 1-heavy. Then $w^+v_2^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$, contradicting to Lemma 2. Hence $v_1v_3 \in E(G)$.

Assume that $v_2y_1^- \notin E(G)$. By Claim 5, $v_3y_1^-, v_3y_1 \notin E(G)$. By Observation 4 (a), $uy_1^-, uy_1 \notin E(G)$. Now $\{u, v_3, v_1, y_1^-, y_1\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since y_1^-, u are light, there exists a vertex $w \neq v_1 \in N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$ and $w \in V(C)$. The same as the analysis above, we can get a contradiction. Thus, $v_2y_1^- \in E(G)$.

Assume that $v_2^-y_1^- \notin E(G)$. Then $\{v_2, v_2^-, y_1^-, u\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. Hence $v_2^-y_1^- \in E(G)$.

By Claim 5, $v_3y_1^-, v_3y_1 \notin E(G)$. By symmetry, we have $v_2x^+, v_2x \notin E(G)$. By Observation 4 (b), $v_3^+y_1, v_3^+y_1^- \notin E(G)$. By symmetry, we have $v_2^-x^+, v_2^-x \notin E(G)$. We also have $y_1^-x \notin E(G)$, since otherwise $\{y_1^-, v_1, v_2^-, x\}$ induces a light claw, a contradiction. Now $\{v_2, v_2^-, y_1^-, x^+, x\}$ induces a Z_2 . Since v_2^-, x^+ are light, there exists a vertex $w \neq y_1^- \in N(v_2^-) \cap N(x^+)$ and $w \in V(C)$. By condition, $v_3x^+ \notin E(G)$, and it follows $w \neq v_3$. By Claim 3, $v_1v_2^- \notin E(G)$, and it implies $w \neq v_1$. By Claim 5 and the symmetry, $v_2x^+ \notin E(G)$. It means $w \neq v_2$. Hence $w \neq v_1, v_2, v_3$. Now $\{y_1^-, v_2^-, w, w^-\}$ induces a claw, and it follows that w^- is heavy. Hence $w^-v_3^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$, contradicting to Lemma 2.

The proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 10. Remark that all of the notation and observations of the general discussion are assumed. Suppose that G is not Hamiltonian. We choose a longest heavy cycle C of G and a (u, C) -fan $F = (u; Q_1, Q_2)$ such that $|V(F)|$ is as small as possible,

where $u \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$. By Lemma 4, C is the longest cycle in G . By the fact that G is claw- o -heavy, Observations 6 and 7 (b), we have $v_1^- v_1^+ \in E(G)$, $v_2^- v_2^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$ and $F = (u; uv_1, uv_2)$. Note that each vertex of $\{u, v_1^-, v_1^+\}$ is light.

In the following we distinguish the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $v_1 v_2 \in E(G)$.

Obviously, $G[\{u, v_1, v_2, y_1^-, y_1\}] \cong Z_2$ and $G[\{u, v_1, v_2, y_2^-, y_2\}] \cong Z_2$. Note that $d(u, y_1^-) = d(u, y_2^-) = 2$ and u is light. If each vertex of $\{y_1^-, y_2^-\}$ is heavy, then $y_1^- y_2^- \in \tilde{E}(G)$, which contradicts to Observation 5 (c). Otherwise, $\max(d(u), d(y_1^-)) < n/2$ or $\max(d(u), d(y_2^-)) < n/2$.

Assume that $\max(d(u), d(y_1^-)) < n/2$. Since $d(u, y_1^-) = 2$, we have $|N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)| \geq 2$. Hence there is a vertex $z \neq v_1$ such that $z \in N(u) \cap N(y_1^-)$. If $z \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$, then there is a cycle longer than C , a contradiction. Thus, $z \in V(C)$. Assume that $z \neq v_2$. Without loss of generality, assume that $z \in C[v_2^+, v_1]$. Obviously, we have $uz^-, uz^+ \notin E(G)$. Since G is claw- o -heavy, we have $z^- z^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$. Now $C' = C[z^+, v_1^-]v_1^- v_1^+ C[v_1^+, y_1^{-2}]y_1^{-2} v_1 u z y_1^- C[y_1^-, z^-]z^- z^+ (y_1^{-2} \neq v_1)$ or $C' = [z^+, v_1]v_1 u z y_1^- C[y_1^-, z^-]z^- z^+ (y_1^{-2} = v_1)$ is a C -extendable o -cycle in G , a contradiction. If $z \in C[v_1, v_2^-]$, then we can get a contradiction similarly. If $z = v_2$, then $\{v_2, u, y_1^-, y_2^-\}$ induces a claw (since $uy_1^-, uy_2^-, y_1^- y_2^- \notin E(G)$) by Observations 4 and 5). However, $uy_1^-, uy_2^-, y_1^- y_2^- \notin \tilde{E}(G)$ by Observations 4 and 5. It contradicts to the fact that G is claw- o -heavy.

Assume that $\max(d(u), d(y_2^-)) < n/2$. Similar as the above case, it yields a contradiction.

Case 2. $v_1 v_2 \notin E(G)$.

Assume that $v_2^- v_2^+ \notin E(G)$. Obviously, $G[\{v_2, v_2^-, v_2^+, u\}] \cong K_{1,3}$. By Observation 2 and the fact that G is claw- o -heavy, $d(v_2^-) + d(v_2^+) \geq n$. If $\{v_1^-, v_1^+\}$ is heavy, then we have $d(v_1^+) + d(v_2^+) \geq n$ or $d(v_1^-) + d(v_2^-) \geq n$, contradicting to Observation 5 (b). Otherwise,

either v_1^- or v_1^+ is light. By Observations 2 and 5 (a), $R_1 = G[\{v_1^-, v_1, v_1^+, u, v_2\}] \cong Z_2$ and $R_2 = G[\{v_1^-, v_1, v_1^+, u\}] \cong Z_1$. Note that $d_{R_2}(u, v_1^-) = d_{R_2}(u, v_1^+) = 2$ and u is light. If $\max(d(v_1^+), d(u)) < n/2$, then $|N(u) \cap N(v_1^+)| \geq 2$ by the condition. Hence there is a vertex $z \neq v_1$ such that $z \in N(v_1^+) \cap N(u)$. If $z \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$, then there is a cycle longer than C , contradicting to the choice of C . By Observation 3, $z \neq v_2$. Similar as the analysis in Case 1, we have $z^- z^+ \in \tilde{E}(G)$ and can find a longer cycle in G as before, a contradiction. If $\max(d(v_1^-), d(u)) < n/2$, then we can get a contradiction similarly.

Assume that $v_2^- v_2^+ \in E(G)$. By Observations 2 and 5 (a), $G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u, v_2\}] \cong Z_2$, $G[\{v_2, v_2^-, v_2^+, u, v_1\}] \cong Z_2$, $R_1 = G[\{v_1, v_1^-, v_1^+, u\}] \cong Z_1$ and $R_2 = G[\{v_2, v_2^-, v_2^+, u\}] \cong Z_1$. If $\{v_1^+, v_2^+\}$ is heavy, then $d(v_1^+) + d(v_2^+) \geq n$, contradicting to Observation 5 (b). Otherwise, $\max(d(v_1^+), d(u)) < n/2$ or $\max(d(v_2^+), d(u)) < n/2$. Note that $d_{R_1}(u, v_1^+) = d_{R_2}(u, v_2^+) = 2$ and u is light. In each case, we can get a contradiction as before.

The proof is complete. □

References

- [1] B. Bollobás and G. Brightwell, Cycles through specified vertices, *Combinatorica* **13** (1993) 147-155.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, Longest paths and cycles in graphs of high degree, Research Report CORR 80-16, Univ. of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario (1980).
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [4] H.J. Broersma, Z. Ryjáček and I. Schiermeyer, Dirac's minimum degree condition restricted to claws, *Discrete Math.* **167/168** (1997) 155-166.

- [5] B. Chen, S. Zhang and S. Qiao, Hamilton cycles in claw-heavy graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009) 2015-2019.
- [6] V. Chvátal and P. Erdős, A note on hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* **2** (1972) 111-113.
- [7] G. Fan, New sufficient conditions for cycles in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **37** (1984) 221-227.
- [8] S. Goodman and S. Hedetniemi, Sufficient conditions for a graph to be Hamiltonian, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **16** (1974) 175-180.
- [9] R.J. Gould and M.S. Jacobson, Forbidden subgraphs and Hamiltonian properties of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **42** (1982) 189-196.
- [10] B. Li, Z. Ryjáček, Y. Wang and S. Zhang, Pairs of heavy subgraphs for Hamiltonicity of 2-connected graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **26(3)** (2012) 1088-1103.
- [11] B. Li and S. Zhang, Heavy subgraph conditions for longest cycles to be heavy in graphs, arXiv: 1109.4675 [math.Co].
- [12] B. Ning and S. Zhang, Ore- and Fan-type heavy subgraphs for Hamiltonicity of 2-connected graphs, arXiv: 1203.3915v4 [math.CO].
- [13] R. Shi, 2-Neighborhoods and hamiltonian conditions, *J. Graph Theory* **16** (1992) 267-271.